arXiv:1609.06813v1 [math.GT] 22 Sep 2016

COMPLEXITY OF VIRTUAL 3-MANIFOLDS

E.A. FOMINYKH, V.G. TURAEV, AND A.YU. VESNIN

Abstract. Virtual 3-manifolds were introduced by S.V. Matveev in 2009 as natural generalizations of the classical 3-manifolds. In this paper, we introduce a notion of complexity of a virtual 3-manifold. We investigate the values of the complexity for virtual 3-manifolds presented by special polyhedra with one or two 2-components. On the basis of these results, we establish the exact values of the complexity for a wide class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with totally geodesic boundary.

Key words: virtual manifolds, 3-manifolds, hyperbolic manifolds, complexity.

1. Introduction

S.V. Matveev [1] introduced a notion of a virtual 3-manifold generalizing the classical notion of a 3-manifold. A virtual manifold is an equivalence class of so-called special polyhedra. Each virtual manifold determines a 3-manifold with nonempty boundary and, possibly, RP^2-singularities. Many properties and invariants of 3-manifolds, such as Turaev–Viro invariants [2], extend to virtual manifolds.

The complexity of a manifold is an important invariant in the theory of 3-manifolds, see [3]. The problem of calculating of the complexity is very difficult. The exact values of the complexity are presently known only for a finite number of tabulated manifolds [4, 5] and for several infinite families of manifolds with boundary [6, 7, 8, 9], closed manifolds [10, 11] and manifolds with cusps [12, 13, 14]. Estimates of the complexity for some infinite families of manifolds are obtained in [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20].

In this paper we introduce the complexity of a virtual 3-manifold. We investigate the values of the complexity for virtual manifolds presented by special polyhedra with one or two 2-components. On the basis of these results we establish the exact values of the complexity for a wide class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with totally geodesic boundary.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present basic facts of the theory of simple and special polyhedra, define a virtual manifold and discuss invariants of virtual manifolds. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of complexity of a virtual manifold, investigate some of its properties and establish two-sided estimates for the complexity. We also state Theorem 6 and establish Lemma 3.3 devoted to a computation of the complexity of virtual

This work was supported by the Laboratory of Quantum Topology, Chelyabinsk State University (the Russian government grant no. 14.Z50.31.0020). A.Yu. Vesnin and E.A. Fominykh were supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 16-01-00609), and by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russia (the state task number 1.1260.2014/K)..

manifolds presented by special polyhedra with two 2-components. Section 4 is completely devoted to the proof of Theorem 6. For the reader's convenience we include definitions of the ε-invariant and Turaev–Viro invariants, which play a key role in the proof. In Section 5, we discuss a relationship between our results about the complexity of virtual manifolds and the complexity of classical 3-manifolds. We also prove Theorem 9, giving exact values of the complexity for hyperbolic 3-manifolds with totally geodesic boundary that have special spines with two 2-components. In Section 6, we give examples of two infinite families of 3-manifolds satisfying the conditions of Theorem 9.

2. Virtual 3-manifolds

Recall some basic facts from the theory of simple and special polyhedra developed by S.V. Matveev (see [3, Ch. 1]). A compact two-dimensional polyhedron P is said to be *simple* if the link of each point $x \in P$ is homeomorphic to either a circle (such a point x is called *nonsingular*), or a graph consisting of two vertices and three joining them edges (such x is called a *triple point*), or the complete graph K_4 with four vertices (such a point x is called a *true vertex*). The connected components of the set of all nonsingular points are called 2-*components* of P, while the connected components of the set of all triple points are called *triple lines* of P. The set of singular points of P (that is, the union of triple lines and true vertices) is called the *singular graph* of P. Every simple polyhedron is naturally stratified. In this stratification each 2-dimensional stratum (a 2-component) is a connected component of the set of nonsingular points, strata of dimension 1 are the (open or closed) triple lines, and strata of dimension 0 are the true vertices.

A simple polyhedron is *special* if each its 1-dimensional stratum is an open 1-cell, and each its 2-component is an open 2-cell. A singular graph of a special polyhedron has at least one true vertex and is a 4-regular graph. Therefore, it is natural to call the triple lines of a special polyhedron *edges*.

For a special polyhedron P with at least two true vertices, a T-*move* on P removes a proper subpolyhedron of P shown in Fig. 1 on the left and glues instead a proper subpolyhedron shown in Fig. 1 on the right. Note that T increases by 1 both the number of true vertices and the number of 2-components of P, while the inverse move T^{-1} decreases both these numbers by 1. The moves T and T^{-1} do not change the Euler characteristic of the special polyhedron.

For each 2-component ξ of a special polyhedron P, there is a characteristic map $f : D^2 \to P$, which carries the interior of the disc D^2 onto ξ homeomorphically and which restricts to a local embedding on $S^1 = \partial D^2$. We will call the curve $f|_{\partial D^2} : \partial D^2 \to P$ (and its image $f|_{\partial D^2}(\partial D^2)$) the *boundary curve* $\partial\xi$ of ξ. Denote by $A^2 \cup D^2$ the annulus $S^1 \times I$ with a disc D^2 attached along its middle circle, and denote by $M^2 \cup D^2$ a Möbius band with a disc D^2 attached along its middle circle. We say that the boundary curve $\partial\xi$ has a *trivial* (respectively, *nontrivial*) normal bundle if the characteristic map $f : D^2 \to P$ of ξ



FIGURE 1. The moves T and T^{-1}.

extends to a local embedding $f^{(A^2\cup D^2)} : A^2 \cup D^2 \to P$ (respectively, to a local embedding $f^{(M^2\cup D^2)} : M^2 \cup D^2 \to P$). We say that the boundary curve $\partial\xi$ is *short*, if it traverses precisely three true vertices of P and passes through each of them only once.

We now state a condition on a special polyhedron allowing to apply to it the move T^{-1}.

Remark 1. *A special polyhedron allows a move T^{-1} if and only if at least one of its boundary curves is short and has a trivial normal bundle.*

The notion of a virtual manifold was introduced in [1].

Definition 1. *We say that two special polyhedra are equivalent if one of them can be transformed into the other one by a finite sequence of moves $T^{\pm 1}$. A virtual 3-manifold is the equivalence class $[P]$ of a special polyhedron P.*

The moves T and T^{-1} do not change the Euler characteristic of a special polyhedron and the number of its 2-components, whose boundary curves have non-trivial normal bundles. This implies the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *The Euler characteristic $\chi(P)$ of a special polyhedron P and the number of 2-components of P, whose boundary curves have non-trivial normal bundles, are invariants of the virtual 3-manifold $[P]$.*

Each special polyhedron P determines a 3-manifold $W(P)$ with nonempty boundary and RP^2-singularities (see [1], [3, §1.1.5]).

Definition 2. *A compact three-dimensional polyhedron W is called a 3-manifold with RP^2-singularities if the link of any point of W is either a 2-sphere, or a 2-disc, or RP^2. The set of points of W whose links are 2-discs form the boundary ∂W of W.*

Let us describe a construction of the manifold $W(P)$ following [1]. Replacing each true vertex of P by a 3-ball and each triple line of P by a handle of index 1, we obtain a (possibly, non-orientable) handlebody H such that each 2-component ξ of P meets ∂H along a single

circle $c = \xi \cap \partial H$. The rest of the construction involves two cases, depending on the type of the normal bundle of the boundary curve $\partial\xi$. If the normal bundle of $\partial\xi$ is trivial, then a regular neighborhood $N(c)$ of c in ∂H is an annulus. In this case we thicken the disc $\xi \setminus (\xi \cap H)$ to an index 2 handle. If the normal bundle of $\partial\xi$ is non-trivial, then a regular neighborhood $N(c)$ of c in ∂H is a Möbius strip. In this case we attach to the boundary ∂H of H a disc D^2 along the circle $\partial N(c)$ and replace $\xi \setminus (\xi \cap H)$ with a cone over the projective space $RP^2 = N(c) \cup D^2$. Doing so for all 2-components of P, we obtain a 3-manifold $W(P)$ with nonempty boundary and RP^2-singularities.

The following theorem shows that each virtual manifold determines a 3-manifold with RP^2-singularities and nonempty boundary.

Theorem 1. [1, Theorem 3] *The assignment $P \to W(P)$ yields a well defined surjection $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ from the set $\mathcal{V}$ of all virtual 3-manifolds onto the set $\mathcal{W}$ of all 3-manifolds with RP^2-singularities and nonempty boundary.*

If the boundary curves of all 2-components of a special polyhedron P have trivial normal bundles, then, by construction, $W(P)$ is a genuine 3-manifold without singularities and, moreover, P is embedded into $W(P)$ so that $W(P) \setminus P$ is homeomorphic to $\partial W(P) \times (0, 1]$. In this case, P is a spine of $W(P)$ in the following sense.

Definition 3. *Let M be a connected compact 3-manifold. A compact two-dimensional polyhedron $P \subset M$ is called a spine of M if either $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ and $M \setminus P$ is homeomorphic to $\partial M \times (0, 1]$ or $\partial M = \emptyset$ and $M \setminus P$ is homeomorphic to an open ball.*

A spine of a disconnected 3-manifold is the union of spines of its connected components.

A spine of a 3-manifold is said to be *special* if it is a special polyhedron.

Theorem 2. [21] *Any compact 3-manifold has a special spine.*

The role of the moves T and T^{-1} is clear from the following theorem.

Theorem 3. [3, Theorem 1.2.5] *Let P_1 and P_2 be special polyhedra having at least two true vertices each. Then the following holds:*

1. *If P_1 and P_2 are special spines of the same 3-manifold, then P_1 can be transformed into P_2 by a finite sequence of moves $T^{\pm 1}$.*
2. *If P_1 can be transformed into P_2 by a finite sequence of moves $T^{\pm 1}$ and if P_1 is a special spine of a 3-manifold, then P_2 is a special spine of the same 3-manifold.*

Remark 2. *Let P be a special spine of a 3-manifold M. Suppose that P has at least two true vertices. Theorem 3 implies that the equivalence class $[P]$ of P consists precisely of the special spines of M with at least two true vertices.*

Remark 3. *Consider the restriction of the map $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ from Theorem 1 to the set of classes of special spines with at least two true vertices. Theorems 2 and 3 imply that this restriction is a bijection on the set of compact 3-manifolds with nonempty boundary.*

Turaev-Viro invariants [2] play an important role in the theory of three-dimensional manifolds. The following theorem justifies their use in the study of virtual manifolds.

Theorem 4. [1, Theorem 4] *Turaev-Viro invariants of 3-manifolds extend to the class of virtual 3-manifolds.*

3. Complexity of virtual 3-manifolds

Definition 4. *The complexity* $\mathrm{cv}[P]$ *of a virtual 3-manifold* $[P]$ *is equal to* k *if the equivalence class* $[P]$ *contains a special polyhedron with* k *true vertices and contains no special polyhedra with a smaller number of true vertices.*

We establish some properties of the complexity $\mathrm{cv}[P]$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* P *be a special polyhedron. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) $\mathrm{cv}[P] \geq 1$.
(2) *The following conditions are equivalent:*
   (a) $\mathrm{cv}[P] = 1$.
   (b) P *has exactly one true vertex.*
   (c) $[P] = \{P\}$.
(3) *If* P *has exactly two true vertices then* $\mathrm{cv}[P] = 2$.

Proof. 1. The claim follows from the definition, since each special polyhedron has at least one true vertex.

2. The claim is obvious, since each one-vertex special polyhedron is the only element in its equivalence class.

3. If P has exactly two true vertices, then $\mathrm{cv}[P] \leqslant 2$. The second claim of the lemma implies that $\mathrm{cv}[P] \neq 1$. This completes the proof. □

Note the following relationship between the number of 2-components of a special polyhedron and the number of boundary components of the corresponding manifold with RP^2-singularities.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* P *be a special polyhedron with* d 2*-components. Denote by* b *the number of boundary components of the* 3*-manifold with* RP^2*-singularities* $W(P)$*. Then* $d \geq b$.

By the above construction, the 3-manifold with RP^2-singularities $W(P)$ has a non-empty boundary, i.e. $b \geq 1$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 in [6].

Using Lemma 3.2 we establish two-sided estimates of the complexity.

Theorem 5. *Let* P *be a special polyhedron with* $n \geq 2$ *true vertices and* d 2*-components. Denote by* b *the number of boundary components of the* 3*-manifold with* RP^2*-singularities* $W(P)$*. Then*

$$n - (d - b) \leq \mathrm{cv}[P] \leq n.$$

Proof. The definition of the complexity implies the right inequality $\mathrm{cv}[P] \leq n$. Let us prove the left inequality. Since the special graph of any special polyhedron is 4-regular, $\chi(P) = n - 2n + d = d - n$. In the class $[P]$ we choose a special polyhedron with $\mathrm{cv}[P]$ true vertices and denote it by P'. Let m be the number of 2 -components of the polyhedron P'. Then $\chi(P') = m - \mathrm{cv}[P]$. The equality $\chi(P') = \chi(P)$ implies that $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n - d + m$. Since the map $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ considered above is well defined, the manifolds $W(P')$ and $W(P)$ are homeomorphic. Applying Lemma 3.2 to the polyhedron P', we get $m \geq b$. Therefore, $\mathrm{cv}[P] \geq n - d + b$, which completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 1. *If, under the assumptions of Theorem 5, we have $d = b$, then $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n$. In particular, if the special polyhedron P has exactly one 2-component, then $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n$.*

The following theorem allows us to calculate the complexity of virtual manifolds presented by special polyhedra with two 2-components. Recall that the boundary curve of a 2-component is said to be short if it passes through three true vertices and through each of them exactly once.

Theorem 6. *Let P be a special polyhedron having $n \geq 2$ true vertices and two 2-components whose boundary curves are not short. Then $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n$.*

The proof of Theorem 6 occupies Section 4 below.

Lemma 3.3. *Let P be a special polyhedron with $n \geq 2$ true vertices and two 2-components. Suppose that the boundary curve of at least one of the 2-components is short. Then:*

(1) *If the short boundary curve has a trivial normal bundle, then $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n - 1$.*
(2) *If both boundary curves have non-trivial normal bundles, then $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n$.*

Proof. 1. By Remark 1, we can apply to P the move T^{-1}. This gives a special polyhedron P' equivalent to P. Therefore, $\mathrm{cv}[P] = \mathrm{cv}[P']$. By the construction, the polyhedron P' has $(n-1)$ true vertices and one 2-component. By Corollary 1, we have $\mathrm{cv}[P'] = n - 1$.

2. Since P has two 2-components, Lemma 3.2 implies that the number of boundary components of the manifold $W(P)$ is either 1 or 2. Then by Theorem 5, we have $n - 1 \leq \mathrm{cv}[P] \leq n$. We check now that $\mathrm{cv}[P] \neq n - 1$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n - 1$, i.e. that P is equivalent to a special polyhedron P' with $n - 1$ true vertices. Since all polyhedra in the same equivalence class have the same Euler characteristic, $\chi(P') = \chi(P) = 2 - n$. Therefore, the polyhedron P' has exactly one 2-component.

If both boundary curves of P have non-trivial normal bundles, then Lemma 2.1 implies that P' has two 2-components whose boundary curves have non-trivial normal bundles. This contradicts the fact that P' has only one 2-component. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4. *Let P be a special polyhedron with $n \geq 2$ true vertices and two 2-components. Using simple combinatorial arguments it is easy to show that both boundary curves of the 2-components of P cannot be short.*

4. Proof of theorem 6

First, note that if $n = 2$ then $\mathrm{cv}[P] = 2$ by Claim (3) of Lemma 3.1. Therefore we will assume that $n \geq 3$.

An argument analogous to the one in the proof of Lemma 3.3, Claim (2) proves the inequalities $n - 1 \leq \mathrm{cv}[P] \leq n$. It remains to show that $\mathrm{cv}[P] \neq n - 1$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $\mathrm{cv}[P] = n - 1$, i.e., that in the class $[P]$ there is a special polyhedron P' with $n - 1$ true vertices and, hence, with one 2-component.

A simple polyhedron contained in a special polyhedron is *proper*, if it is different from the empty set and from the whole polyhedron. The following lemma describes all proper simple subpolyhedra of P.

Lemma 4.1. *The polyhedron P has exactly one proper simple subpolyhedron.*

Proof. As mentioned above, the Turaev–Viro invariants extend to virtual manifolds. To prove the lemma, we use one of the resulting invariants, known as the ε-invariant, which is the homologically trivial part of the order 5 Turaev–Viro invariant. We give the definition of the ε-invariant following [3]. Consider a special polyhedron R and let $\mathcal{F}(R)$ be the set of all simple subpolyhedra of R including R and the empty set. Set $\varepsilon = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, a solution of the equation $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon + 1$. We associate to each $Q \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ its *ε-weight* by the formula

$$w_\varepsilon(Q) = (-1)^{V(Q)} \varepsilon^{\chi(Q) - V(Q)},$$

where $V(Q)$ is the number of true vertices of the simple polyhedron Q and χ is the Euler characteristic. Set

$$t(R) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(R)} w_\varepsilon(Q).$$

As shown in [3], the number $t(R)$ is invariant under the moves $T^{\pm 1}$. We call $t(R)$ the ε-invariant of the virtual manifold $[R]$ and denote it by $t[R]$.

We return to the proof of the lemma and use the ε-invariant to show that P has at least one proper simple subpolyhedron. If it is not the case, then

$$t(P) = (-1)^n \varepsilon^{2-2n} + 1 \quad \text{whereas} \quad t(P') = (-1)^{n-1} \varepsilon^{3-2n} + 1.$$

Since $\varepsilon = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$, the values $t(P)$ and $t(P')$ differ. This contradicts the fact that $P' \in [P]$. Thus, P has at least one proper simple polyhedron.

We will show that such a subpolyhedron is unique. By the compactness of a simple subpolyhedron if it contains a point of a 2-component, then it contains the 2-component entirely. Thus, to describe a simple subpolyhedron of P it is enough to indicate which 2-components of P it includes (its triple points and true vertices will be then determined uniquely). Since P has only two 2-components, it has at most two proper simple subpolyhedra, and each of them contains exactly one 2-component. Since P is connected, it has an edge traversed twice by the boundary curve of one of the 2-components and traversed once by the boundary curve

of the other 2-component. The latter 2-component cannot be contained in a proper simple subpolyhedron of P. This completes the proof. □

Denote by Q the proper simple subpolyhedron of P whose existence was proved in Lemma 4.1. Let $V(Q)$ be the number of true vertices of Q.

Lemma 4.2. *We have* $V(Q) = n - 3$.

Proof. Since Q is a proper simple subpolyhedron of P, we have $V(Q) < n$. Clearly,

$$t[P] = t(P) = (-1)^n \varepsilon^{2-2n} + (-1)^{V(Q)} \varepsilon^{\chi(Q)-V(Q)} + 1.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the calculation of the same ε-invariant $t[P]$ on the polyhedron P' gives $t[P] = t(P') = (-1)^{n-1}\varepsilon^{3-2n} + 1$. It is easy to see that $t(P) = t(P')$ if and only if

$$(-1)^{V(Q)} \varepsilon^{\chi(Q)-V(Q)} = (-1)^{n-1} \left[\varepsilon^{3-2n} + \varepsilon^{2-2n}\right].$$

This equality holds if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) $V(Q)$ and $n-1$ have the same parity;

(ii) $\varepsilon^{\chi(Q)-V(Q)} = \varepsilon^{3-2n} + \varepsilon^{2-2n}$.

Since

$$\varepsilon^{3-2n} + \varepsilon^{2-2n} = \varepsilon^{2-2n}(\varepsilon + 1) = \varepsilon^{2-2n}\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon^{4-2n},$$

condition (ii) is equivalent to

$$\chi(Q) + 2n = 4 + V(Q). \tag{1}$$

Now we show that $V(Q) \neq n-1$. Denote by α and β the 2-components of P assuming for concreteness that α is contained in Q, and β is not. Suppose, on the contrary, that $V(Q) = n - 1$. Then the boundary curve $\partial\beta$ passes through only one true vertex of P, and hence through one edge of P (see Figure 2). Hence, $Q = P \setminus \beta$. Therefore $\chi(Q) = \chi(P) - 1 = 1 - n$.



FIGURE 2. The boundary curve $\partial\beta$ passes through one true vertex and through one edge of the special polyhedron

Obviously, for such values of $V(Q)$ and $\chi(Q)$ the equality (1) is not met. This contradiction implies that $V(Q) \neq n-1$.

Recall that the special polyhedron P has a natural cell decomposition: a 2-cell is a connected component of the set of nonsingular points, a 1-cell is an edge, and a 0-cell is a true vertex. The cell decomposition of P induces a cell decomposition of its simple subpolyhedron Q. Denote by k_0 the number of 0-cells of Q and by k_1 the number of 1-cells of Q. Hence, $k_0 \leq n$ and $k_1 \leq 2k_0$. Since Q has exactly one 2-cell (that is the 2-component α),

$$\chi(Q) = k_0 - k_1 + 1 \geq 1 - k_0 \geq 1 - n.$$

Hence, using the formula (1), we obtain that $V(Q) \geq n-3$. Taking into account that $V(Q)$ has the same parity as $n-1$ and that $V(Q) \neq n-1$, we can conclude that $V(Q) = n-3$. The proof is complete. □

Recall that by the choice of notation in the proof of Lemma 4.2, the 2-component β of the polyhedron P is not contained in the simple subpolyhedron Q.

Lemma 4.3. *The boundary curve $\partial\beta$ of the 2-component β of the polyhedron P has the following properties:*

(a) *$\partial\beta$ passes along each edge of P at most once;*

(b) *$\partial\beta$ passes through three true vertices of P and through each of them at most twice.*

Proof. We show that the simple subpolyhedron $Q \subset P$ contains all true vertices of P (they are not necessarily true vertices in Q) and all its edges. That is, in the above notations, we have $k_0 = n$ and $k_1 = 2n$. Indeed, if $k_0 < n$ then $k_1 < 2n-3$. Hence, $\chi(Q) = k_0 - k_1 + 1 > k_0 - 2n + 4$. Since $V(Q) = n-3$, by the formula (1) we have $\chi(Q) = 1-n$. Therefore, $k_0 < n-3$, which contradicts the condition $k_0 \geq V(Q) = n-3$. Thus $k_0 = n$. Then the equalities $k_0 - k_1 + 1 = 1 - n$ and $k_0 = n$ imply that $k_1 = 2n$.

Since the polyhedron Q contains all edges of P, the curve $\partial\beta$ passes along each edge of P at most once and, therefore, it passes through each true vertex of P at most twice. Since $V(Q) = n-3$, the curve $\partial\beta$ passes through exactly three true vertices of P. The proof is complete. □

Let us apply a move T to the polyhedron P' in an arbitrary way. Denote the resulting special polyhedron by P''. The polyhedron P'' has n true vertices, two 2-components and belongs to the equivalence class $[P]$.

Lemma 4.4. *The virtual 3-manifolds $[P]$ and $[P'']$ have different Turaev–Viro invariants.*

Proof. We will show that these virtual manifolds differ by the order 7 Turaev–Viro invariant. We recall the construction of Turaev–Viro invariants [2] following the exposition in [3] and calculate the value of the order 7 invariant for $[P]$ and $[P'']$.

Let R be a special polyhedron, $V(R)$ be the set of its vertices, and $U(R)$ be the set of its 2-components. A *coloring* of R in the color palette $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1, \ldots, r-2\}$, where $r \geq 3$ is an

integer, is a map $\zeta : U(R) \to \mathcal{C}$. An unordered triple i, j, k of colors from the palette $\mathcal{C}$ is *admissible* if

- $i + j \geq k$, $j + k \geq i$, $k + i \geq j$;
- $i + j + k$ is even;
- $i + j + k \leq 2r - 4$.

A coloring ζ of a special polyhedron R is *admissible* if the colors of any three 2-components adjacent to the same edge form an admissible triple. The set of all admissible colorings of R in the palette $\mathcal{C}$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Col}(R)$.

We describe all admissible colorings of the special polyhedra P and P'' when $r = 7$. It was established in Lemma 4.3, that the boundary curve $\partial\beta$ passes through exactly three true vertices of P. Denote by m the number of those vertices traversed by the curve $\partial\beta$ exactly twice. By the conditions of Theorem 6, the boundary curves of the 2-components of P are not short, and therefore the curve $\partial\beta$ traverses twice at least one true vertex of P. Thus, $1 \leq m \leq 3$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we let α denote the second 2-component of P. We separate all edges of P into two types. An edge is of type I, if only the curve $\partial\alpha$ passes along this edge. An edge is of type II, if the curve $\partial\alpha$ passes along it twice and the curve $\partial\beta$ passes along it once.

Recall that the special polyhedron P'' is obtained by applying the move T to the special polyhedron P'. Therefore the polyhedron P'', as well as P, has two 2-components, and the boundary curve of one of its 2-components is short. Denote this 2-component of P'' by δ, and denote the second 2-component of P'' by γ. Similarly to P, all edges of P'' are separated into two types. An edge is of type I, if only the curve $\partial\gamma$ goes along this edge, and of type II, if the curve $\partial\gamma$ goes along it twice and the curve $\partial\delta$ goes along it once.

Let (k, ℓ) denote a coloring of P such that the 2-component α is painted in the color k and the 2-component β in the color ℓ. Analogously, let (k, ℓ) denote a coloring of P'' such that the 2-component γ is painted in the color k and the 2-component δ in the color ℓ. A coloring (k, ℓ) is called *monochrome* if $k = \ell$. Consider the palette $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for $r = 7$. From the definition of an admissible coloring it follows immediately that each of the polyhedra P and P'' has four admissible colorings. More precisely,

$$\mathrm{Col}(P) = \mathrm{Col}(P'') = \{(0, 0), (2, 2), (2, 0), (2, 4)\}.$$

Recall relevant notation from the theory of quantum invariants. Let q be a $2r$-th root of unity such that q^2 is a primitive root of unity of degree r. For a non-negative integer n set

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad [n]! = [n][n-1]\cdots[2][1].$$

In particular, $[1]! = [1] = 1$. By definition, $[0]! = 1$.

To each color $i \in \mathcal{C}$ one assigns a weight

$$w_i = (-1)^i[i + 1].$$

In particular,

$$w_0 = 1, \qquad w_2 = [3], \qquad w_4 = [5]. \tag{2}$$

For a non-negative integer m we write $\hat{m} = m/2$. For an admissible triple i, j, k put

$$\Delta(i,j,k) = \left(\frac{[\hat{i}+\hat{j}-\hat{k}]!\,[\hat{j}+\hat{k}-\hat{i}]!\,[\hat{k}+\hat{i}-\hat{j}]!}{[\hat{i}+\hat{j}+\hat{k}+1]!} \right)^{1/2}.$$

In particular,

$$\Delta(0,0,0) = 1, \quad \Delta(2,2,2) = \frac{1}{([4]!)^{1/2}}, \quad \Delta(2,2,0) = \frac{1}{[3]^{1/2}}, \quad \Delta(2,2,4) = \frac{[2]!}{([5!])^{1/2}}.$$

Consider a regular neighborhood $N(v)$ of a true vertex v of a special polyhedron R. The intersection of $N(v)$ with the union of 2-components of R consists of six discs which, as in [3], are called *wings* of $N(v)$. Two wings are *opposite* if their closures in $N(v)$ meet only in v. It is clear that the wings of $N(v)$ are divided into three pairs of opposite wings. Note that any coloring of R induces a coloring of the wings of $N(v)$. If the coloring of R is admissible and triple pairwise opposite wings are painted in the colors i and l, j and m, k and n (see Figure 3), then with the vertex v one associates a quantum $6j$-symbol $\left| \begin{matrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{matrix} \right|_v \in \mathbb{C}$.



FIGURE 3. A coloring of the wings of a true vertex neighborhood.

The $6j$-symbol is defined by the formula

$$\left| \begin{matrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{matrix} \right|_v = \sum_z \frac{(-1)^z\,[z+1]!\,A\begin{pmatrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{pmatrix}}{B\left(z, \begin{pmatrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{pmatrix}\right)\,C\left(z, \begin{pmatrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{pmatrix}\right)},$$

where

$$A\begin{pmatrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{pmatrix} = (\sqrt{-1})^{(i+j+k+l+m+n)}\,\Delta(i,j,k)\,\Delta(i,m,n)\,\Delta(j,l,n)\,\Delta(k,l,m),$$

$$B\left(z, \begin{pmatrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{pmatrix}\right) = [z-\hat{i}-\hat{j}-\hat{k}]!\,[z-\hat{i}-\hat{m}-\hat{n}]!\,[z-\hat{j}-\hat{l}-\hat{n}]!\,[z-\hat{k}-\hat{l}-\hat{m}]!,$$

$$C\left(z,\begin{pmatrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{pmatrix}\right) = [\hat{i}+\hat{j}+\hat{l}+\hat{m}-z]!\,[\hat{i}+\hat{k}+\hat{l}+\hat{n}-z]!\,[\hat{j}+\hat{k}+\hat{m}+\hat{n}-z]!,$$

and the sum is taken over all integer z such that $a \le z \le b$, where

$$a = \max\{\hat{i}+\hat{j}+\hat{k}, \hat{i}+\hat{m}+\hat{n}, \hat{j}+\hat{l}+\hat{n}, \hat{k}+\hat{l}+\hat{m}\},$$

$$b = \min\{\hat{i}+\hat{j}+\hat{l}+\hat{m}, \hat{i}+\hat{k}+\hat{l}+\hat{n}, \hat{j}+\hat{k}+\hat{m}+\hat{n}\}.$$

By these formulas, for admissible colorings of 2-components of P and P'', we obtain:

$$A\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{[3]^2}, \quad B\left(2,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1, \quad C\left(2,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = [2]!,$$

$$A\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-1}{[3][4]!}, \quad B\left(3,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1, \quad C\left(3,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1,$$

$$A\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{([2]!)^2}{[3][5]!}, \quad B\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = ([2]!)^2, \quad C\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1,$$

$$A\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{([4]!)^2}, \quad B\left(3,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1, \quad C\left(3,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1,$$

$$B\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1, \quad C\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1,$$

$$A\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-([2]!)^2}{[4]![5]!}, \quad B\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1, \quad C\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1,$$

$$A\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{([2]!)^4}{([5]!)^2}, \quad B\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1, \quad C\left(4,\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1, \quad \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{[3]}, \quad \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{[3]},$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{[5]-1}{[4]!}, \quad \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{([2]!)^2}{[4]!}, \quad \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{([2]!)^2}{[5]!}. \tag{3}$$

In general, the weight of an admissible coloring $\zeta \in \mathrm{Col}(R)$ is defined by the rule

$$w(\zeta, R) = \Pi_{v\in V(R)} \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ l & m & n \end{vmatrix}_v \Pi_{u\in U(R)} w_{\zeta(u)}.$$

The *weight of the special polyhedron* R is defined as the sum of the weights of all its admissible colorings:

$$w(R) = \sum_{\zeta\in \mathrm{Col}(R)} w(\zeta, R).$$

By Theorem 4 the weight $w(R)$ of R is the *order r Turaev–Viro invariant* of the virtual manifold $[R]$.

Now consider again our polyhedra P and P''. By definition, the weight of P is the sum of the weights of all admissible colorings:

$$w(P) = w((0,0),P) + w((2,0),P) + w((2,2),P) + w((2,4),P),$$

and similarly,

$$w(P'') = w((0,0),P'') + w((2,0),P'') + w((2,2),P'') + w((2,4),P'').$$

Since the special polyhedra P and P'' have the same number of true vertices, the weights of monochrome colorings obviously coincide:

$$w((0,0),P) = w((0,0),P'') \qquad \text{and} \qquad w((2,2),P) = w((2,2),P'').$$

Next we compare the weights of the coloring (2.0). Recall that $m \in \{1,2,3\}$ is the number of true vertices of P traversed by the curve $\partial\beta$ exactly twice. Taking into account the formula (2), we obtain that

$$w((2,0),P) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix}^{n-3} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{m} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{3-m} [3],$$

$$w((2,0),P'') = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix}^{n-3} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{3} [3].$$

It follows from (3) that

$$w((2,0),P) = w((2,0),P'').$$

Let us compare the weights of the coloring $(2,4)$:

$$w((2,4),P) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix}^{n-3} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix}^{m} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix}^{3-m} [3][5],$$

$$w((2,4),P'') = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix}^{n-3} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix}^{3} [3][5].$$

Using the formulas (3) and the condition $m \geqslant 1$ we get

$$w((2,4),P) \neq w((2,4),P''),$$

and hence

$$w(P) \neq w(P'').$$

Thus, the virtual manifolds $[P]$ and $[P'']$ differ by the order 7 Turaev–Viro invariant. This completes the proof. $\square$

We proved that the equivalent polyhedra P and P'', and hence, P and P', have different Turaev–Viro invariants. This contradiction shows that the original assumption on the existence of a special polyhedron $P' \in [P]$ with $n-1$ true vertices is false. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

5. Complexity of manifolds

In this section we discuss the relationships between the results above on the complexity of virtual 3-manifolds with the complexity theory of classical 3-manifolds.

A compact polyhedron P is said to be *almost simple* if the link of each of its points can be embedded into the complete graph K_4 with four vertices. The points whose links are homeomorphic to K_4 are the true vertices of P. A spine of a 3-manifold is said to be *almost simple* if it is an almost simple polyhedron.

Definition 5. *The complexity $c(M)$ of a compact 3-manifold M is the non-negative integer n such that M has an almost simple spine with n true vertices and has no almost simple spines with fewer true vertices.*

The following theorem shows that the complexity of a 3-manifold can be estimated from above by the complexity of the corresponding virtual manifold.

Theorem 7. *Let P be a special spine of a 3-manifold M. Then $\mathrm{c}(M) \leq \mathrm{cv}[P]$.*

Proof. By Definition 5, the complexity of M does not exceed the number of true vertices of any almost simple spine of M. Consequently, $\mathrm{c}(M)$ does not exceed the number of true vertices of any special spine of M as all special polyhedra are almost simple. Therefore, $\mathrm{c}(M) \leq \mathrm{cv}[P]$. □

Remark 5. *The inequality of Theorem 7 is strict for some compact 3-manifolds. For example, if P is a special spine of a manifold M of complexity 0, then $\mathrm{c}(M) < \mathrm{cv}[P]$ because by Lemma 3.1 we have $\mathrm{cv}[P] \geq 1$. Closed manifolds of complexity 0 are S^3, $\mathbb{R}P^3$, and the lens space $L_{3,1}$. Orientable irreducible and boundary irreducible 3-manifolds with nonempty boundary and complexity 0 are described in* [22].

We describe a class of 3-manifolds, for which the inequality in Theorem 7 becomes the equality.

Theorem 8. *Let P be a special spine of an irreducible boundary irreducible 3-manifold M such that $\mathrm{c}(M) \neq 0, 1$ and all proper annuli in M are inessential. Then $\mathrm{c}(M) = \mathrm{cv}[P]$.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{P}$ be a minimal almost simple spine of M, i.e. an almost simple spine with $\mathrm{c}(M)$ true vertices. Since M is an irreducible and boundary irreducible and since all proper annuli in M are inessential, [3, Theorem 2.2.4] implies that there is a special spine P_1 of M having the same number $\mathrm{c}(M)$ of true vertices as $\widehat{P}$. The special spines P_1 and P have at least

two true vertices each, because $\mathrm{c}(M) \geq 2$. Therefore, by Remark 2, we have $[P_1] = [P]$ and $\mathrm{cv}[P] = \mathrm{cv}[P_1] = c(M)$. □

It is known that all hyperbolic 3-manifolds are irreducible, have incompressible boundary, and contain no essential annuli. Therefore, Theorem 8 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let P be a special spine of a hyperbolic 3-manifold M with totally geodesic boundary such that $\mathrm{c}(M) \neq 0, 1$. Then $\mathrm{c}(M) = \mathrm{cv}[P]$.*

The next result follows from Theorem 6 and Remark 2.

Theorem 9. *Let P be a special spine of a hyperbolic 3-manifold M such that P has $n \geq 2$ true vertices and two 2-components. If the boundary curves of both 2-components of P are not short, then $\mathrm{c}(M) = n$.*

The complexity of manifolds which have special spines with $n \geq 2$ true vertices and only one 2-component was calculated in [6] in terms of ideal triangulations. We reformulate this result in terms of spines.

Theorem 10. [6, Theorem 1.2] *Let P be a special spine of a 3-manifold M such that P has $n \geq 2$ true vertices and one 2-component. Then $\mathrm{c}(M) = n$.*

6. Two examples

We give two examples of infinite series of 3-manifolds and their special spines satisfying the conditions of Theorem 9. The complexity of these manifolds was previously computed by the authors in [7] and [9].

6.1. **Paoluzzi–Zimmermann manifolds.** We describe a two-parameter family of 3-manifolds $M_{n,k}$ with nonempty boundary, constructed by Paoluzzi and Zimmermann [23]. For an integer $n \geqslant 4$ consider an n-gonal bipyramid $\mathcal{B}_n$, which is the union of pyramids

$$NV_0V_1 \dots V_{n-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad SV_0V_1 \dots V_{n-1}$$

meeting each other along the common n-gonal base $V_0V_1 \dots V_{n-1}$. Let k be an integer such that $0 \leqslant k < n$ and $gcd(n,2-k) = 1$. For each $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ consider a transformation y_i which identifies the face $V_iV_{i+1}N$ with the face $SV_{i+k}V_{i+k+1}$ (indices are taken mod n and the vertices are glued to each other in the order in which they are written).

The identifications $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ define equivalence relations on the sets of faces, edges, and vertices of the bipyramid. It is easy to see that the faces are partitioned into pairs of equivalent faces, the edges constitute one equivalence class and so do the vertices (this is guaranteed by the above conditions on k). Denote the associated quotient space by $M^*_{n,k}$. It is an orientable pseudomanifold with one singular point, since $\chi(M^*_{n,k}) = 1 - 1 + n - 1 = n - 1 \neq 0$. Cutting off an open cone neighborhood of the singular point of $M^*_{n,k}$ we obtain a compact manifold $M_{n,k}$ with one boundary component.



FIGURE 4. The bipyramid $\mathcal{B}_6$.

Let us construct a special spine $P_{n,k}$ of $M_{n,k}$. Cut $\mathcal{B}_n$ into n tetrahedra $\mathcal{T}_i = NSV_iV_{i+1}$, where $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. For each $\mathcal{T}_i$ consider the union R_i of the links of all four vertices of $\mathcal{T}_i$ in the first barycentric subdivision. The pseudomanifold $M^*_{n,k}$ can be obtained by gluing the tetrahedra $\mathcal{T}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ via the identifications $\{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$. This gluing determines a pseudotriangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $M^*_{n,k}$ and induces a gluing of the corresponding polyhedra R_i, $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, together. This gluing yields a special spine $P_{n,k} = \cup_i R_i$ of $M_{n,k}$. Since every R_i is homeomorphic to a cone over K_4, the spine $P_{n,k}$ has exactly n true vertices.

Corollary 3. [7] *For every integer $n \geqslant 4$ we have $c(M_{n,k}) = n$.*

Proof. By the construction of the special spine $P_{n,k}$, its 2-components are in a one-to-one correspondence with the edges of the pseudotriangulation $\mathcal{T}$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ has two edges, $P_{n,k}$ has two 2-components. In addition, the boundary curves of both these 2-components are not short, because each of them traverses all $n \geqslant 4$ true vertices of the spine.

Paoluzzi and Zimmermann [23] proved that the manifolds $M_{n,k}$ are hyperbolic with totally geodesic boundary. Thus the manifolds $M_{n,k}$ and their special spines $P_{n,k}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 9. This implies the corollary. □

6.2. **Manifolds from** [9]**.** We describe a family of 3-manifolds N_n with nonempty boundary constructed in [9]. Let s be a nonnegative integer and $n = 5 + 4s$. We construct a plane 4-regular graph G_n with decoration of vertices and edges as follows. The graph G_n has n vertices, two loops, and $n-1$ double edges. At each vertex of the graph, over- and underpassing are specified (just as in a crossing in a knot diagram), and each edge is assigned an element of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$. The decorated graph G_5 is shown in Fig. 5.

The graph G_5 has a block structure: it is composed of three subgraphs A, C, and E shown in Fig. 6. We express this fact as $G_5 = A \cdot C \cdot E$. Each of the graphs A and E has one 4-valent vertex and one 2-valent vertex. The graph C has one 4-valent vertex and two 2-valent vertices. The decorations of the vertices and edges of the graphs A, C, and E are induced by the decoration of the graph G_5.



FIGURE 5. The decorated graph G_5.



FIGURE 6. Subgraphs A, C, and E of G_5.

Next, we define graphs B and D as shown in Fig. 7. The graphs B and D have the same combinatorial structure as the graph C and the same decorations of vertices; however, they differ by the decorations of edges.

FIGURE 7. Graphs B and D.

Let G_n be the decorated graph composed successively of the subgraph A, s copies of the subgraph B, the subgraph C, s copies of the subgraph D, and the subgraph E. In other words, $G_n = A \cdot B^s \cdot C \cdot D^s \cdot E$. The graph G_9 is shown in Fig. 8.



FIGURE 8. The decorated graph G_9.

Note that the graphs G_n belong to the class of o-graphs defined in [24]. That paper presents an algorithm constructing a special polyhedron from an arbitrary o-graph. According to the algorithm, to obtain the polyhedron determined by G_n one should replace the subgraphs A, B, C, D, and E by the similarly named blocks shown in Figs. 9 and 10. As a result of such gluing of blocks, we obtain a special polyhedron. It is proved in [24] that this polyhedron is a special spine of a compact orientable 3-manifold with nonempty boundary. Let N_n and P_n be a manifold and its special spine, respectively, constructed from the o-graph G_n via this algorithm from [24].



FIGURE 9. Blocks A, C, and E.



FIGURE 10. Blocks B and D.

Corollary 4. [9] *For every $n = 5+4s$, where s is a nonnegative integer, the complexity of the manifold N_n is equal to n.*

Proof. According to the algorithm above, the number of true vertices of the spine P_n is equal to the number of vertices of the o-graph G_n, i.e., to n. The curves shown in the pictures of the blocks are joined into closed curves. It can be easily verified that for any n, the number of closed curves is equal to two. Since these closed curves correspond to the 2-components of the spine P_n, we obtain that P_n has two 2-components. It follows from the construction of the spine that the boundary curves of both 2-components of P_n are not short. Moreover, it is proved in [9] that the manifolds N_n are hyperbolic manifolds with totally geodesic boundary. Therefore, the manifolds N_n and their special spines P_n satisfy the conditions of Theorem 9. This proves the corollary. □

REFERENCES

[1] S.V. Matveev, Virtual 3-manifds, Siberian electronic mathematical reports, 2009, 6, 518–521.

[2] V. G. Turaev, O. Y. Viro, State sum invariants of 3-manifolds and quantum 6j-symbols, Topology, 1992, 31(4), 865–902

[3] Matveev S. Algorithmic topology and classification of 3-manifolds. Second edition. Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, 9. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[4] S.V. Matveev, Tabulation of three-dimensional manifolds, Russian Math. Surveys, 2005, 60 (4), 673–698.

[5] R. Frigerio, B. Martelli, C. Petronio, Small hyperbolic 3-manifolds with geodesic boundary, Experimental Math., 2004, 13, 171–184.

[6] R. Frigerio, B. Martelli, C. Petronio, Complexity and Heegaard genus of an infinite class of compact 3-manifolds, Pacific J. Math., 2003, 210 (2), 283–297.

[7] A.Yu. Vesnin, E.A.Fominykh, Exact values of complexity for Paoluzzi-Zimmermann manifolds, Doklady Mathematics, 2011, 84 (1), 542–544.

[8] A.Yu. Vesnin, E.A.Fominykh, On complexity of three-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds with geodesic boundary, Siberian Mathematical Journal, 2012, 53 (4), 625–634.

[9] A.Yu. Vesnin, V.G. Turaev, E.A. Fominykh, Three-dimensional manifolds with poor spines, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 2015, 288, 29–38.

[10] W. Jaco, H. Rubinstein, S. Tillmann, Minimal triangulations for an infinite family of lens spaces, J. Topology. 2009, 2 (1), 157–180.

[11] W. Jaco, H. Rubinstein, S. Tillmann, Coverings and minimal triangulations of 3-manifolds, Algebr. Geom.Topol. 2011, 11 (3), 1257–1265.

[12] A.Yu. Vesnin, V.V. Tarkaev, E.A. Fominykh, On the complexity of three-dimensional cusped hyperbolic manifolds, Doklady Mathematics, 2014, 89 (3), 267–270.

[13] A.Yu. Vesnin, V.V. Tarkaev, E.A. Fominykh, Cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds of complexity 10 having maximum volume, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 2015, 289 (Suppl. 1), S227–S239.

[14] E. Fominykh, S. Garoufalidis, M. Goerner, V. Tarkaev, A. Vesnin, A census of tetrahedral hyperbolic manifolds, Experimental Math., 2016, 25 (4), 466–481.

[15] S. Matveev, C. Petronio, A. Vesnin, Two-sided asymptotic bounds for the complexity of some closed hyperbolic three-manifolds, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 2009, 86 (2), 205–219.

[16] C. Petronio, A. Vesnin, Two-sided bounds for the complexity of cyclic branched coverings of two-bridge links, Osaka J. Math., 2009, 46 (4), 1077–1095.

[17] A. Cattabriga, M. Mulazzani, A. Vesnin, Complexity, Heegaard diagrams and generalized Dunwoody manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc., 2010, 47 (3), 585–599.

[18] E.A.Fominykh, Dehn surgeries on the figure eight knot: an upper bound for complexity, Siberian Mathematical Journal, 2012, 52 (3), 537–543.

[19] E. Fominykh, B. Wiest, Upper bounds for the complexity of torus knot complements, Journal of knot theory and its ramifications, 2013, 22 (10), article number 1350053.

[20] A.Yu. Vesnin, E.A. Fominykh, Two-sided bounds for the complexity of hyperbolic three-manifolds with geodesic boundary, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 2014, 286, 65–74.

[21] B. G. Casler, An imbedding theorem for connected 3-manifolds with boundary, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1965, 16, 559–566.

[22] S.V. Matveev, D.O. Nikolaev, The structure of 3-manifolds of complexity zero, Doklady Mathematics, 2014, 89 (2), 143–145.

[23] L. Paoluzzi, B. Zimmermann, On a class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds and groups with one defining relation, Geom. Dedicata, 1996, 60, 113–123.

[24] R. Benedetti, C. Petronio, A finite graphic calculus for 3-manifolds, Manuscripta Math., 1995, 88, 291–310.

Chelyabinsk State University and Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics
E-mail address: fominykh@csu.ru

Indiana University and Chelyabinsk State University
E-mail address: vturaev@yahoo.com

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics and Chelyabinsk State University
E-mail address: vesnin@math.nsc.ru